UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT F COMMERCE Patent and Trademark ffice

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NA 00.337.812 SAAVEDRA 04722797 08/837812

TTORNEY DOCKET NO. 61192

日间4277120

SKUCE M BAGALA FEYDIG VOIT AND MAYER THE PRODENTIAL PLAZA 196 NUMBER STETSOM CHICAGO IL 60601-6780

goos nide

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615 12

DATE MAILED:

11/20/98

RECEIVED

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| • | JOCKETING |
|---|--|
| ADVISORY ACTION | DATE: 11/30/98 |
| THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | CY:UG |
| a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the | final rejection allege |
| expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Active event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of | on, whichever is later. In no the final rejection. |
| Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension are statistically set shortened statutory period for response or as | and also the date for the asion fee pursuant to 37 CFR |
| Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Nov. 02, 198 has been considered with the following to place the application in condition for allowance: | ng effect, but it is not deemed |
| 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection | stands because: |
| There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is neces presented. | sary and was not earlier |
| b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). | |
| c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). | ÷ |
| d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing of appeal. | or simplifying the issues for |
| e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | aims. |
| NOTE: | |
| | |
| | |
| Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separate the non-allowable claims. | y filed amendment cancelling |
| 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment \prod_X will be entered \prod will not be entered and to be as follows: | he status of the claims will |
| Claims allowed: None | |
| Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 1,5-15-19-27-31-38 | |
| However; | |
| Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): | |
| The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the reconsideration. | piaction because |
| it would require /signifigant laboratory experimentation to a | |
| polymers of the claims other than peptides. Operable synthesi | s instructions — |
| The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reaspresented. | White was not earlier |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Other | PETER F. KULKOSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER |
| - | |
| (cont) are not given for the unlimited scope of polymer formula backbone species. The attachment site by the treatment a specification pages 23 - 31 is at a specific location-"C | reactions of terminus". |
| PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89 Large molecule, high molecular weight proteins have not been derivatized. | |